

Embedded Systems Design: A Unified Hardware/Software Introduction

Custom single-purpose processors

ESD_Cap2 ++

Outline

- Introduction
- Combinational logic
- Sequential logic
- Custom single-purpose processor design
- RT-level custom single-purpose processor design


Introduction

- Processor

- Digital circuit that performs a computation tasks
- Controller and datapath
- General-purpose: variety of computation tasks
- Single-purpose: one particular computation task
- Custom single-purpose: non-standard task


- A custom single-purpose processor may be

- Fast, small, low power
- But, high NRE, longer time-to-market, less flexible








CMOS transistor on silicon

- Transistor
 - The basic electrical component in digital systems
 - Acts as an on/off switch
 - Voltage at “gate” controls whether current flows from source to drain
 - Don’t confuse this “gate” with a logic gate




CMOS transistor implementations

- Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor
- We refer to logic levels
 - Typically 0 is 0V, 1 is 5V
- Two basic CMOS types
 - nMOS conducts if gate=1
 - pMOS conducts if gate=0
 - Hence “complementary”
- Basic gates
 - Inverter, NAND, NOR




Basic logic gates




x	F
0	0
1	1

$F = x$
Driver




x	y	F
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

$F = x y$
AND




x	y	F
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

$F = x + y$
OR




x	y	F
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

$F = x \oplus y$
XOR




x	F
0	1
1	0

$F = x'$
Inverter




x	y	F
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

$F = (x y)'$
NAND



x	y	F
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	0

$F = (x+y)'$
NOR



x	y	F
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

$F = x \odot y$
XNOR

Combinational logic design

A) Problem description

y is 1 if a is to 1, or b and c are 1. z is 1 if b or c is to 1, but not both, or if all are 1.

B) Truth table

Inputs			Outputs	
a	b	c	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	1

C) Output equations

$$y = a'bc + ab'c' + ab'c + abc' + abc$$

$$z = a'b'c + a'bc' + ab'c + abc' + abc$$

D) Minimized output equations


y	a	bc			
		00	01	11	10
0	0	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	1	1

$$y = a + bc$$

z	a	bc			
		00	01	11	10
0	0	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	1

$$z = ab + b'c + bc'$$




E) Logic Gates



(RT-Level) Combinational components

<p> $O =$ I_0 if $S=0..00$ I_1 if $S=0..01$ \dots $I_{(m-1)}$ if $S=1..11$ </p>	<p> $O_0 = 1$ if $I=0..00$ $O_1 = 1$ if $I=0..01$ \dots $O_{(n-1)} = 1$ if $I=1..11$ </p>	<p> $sum = A+B$ (first n bits) $carry = (n+1)$'th bit of $A+B$ </p>	<p> $less = 1$ if $A < B$ $equal = 1$ if $A = B$ $greater = 1$ if $A > B$ </p>	<p> $O = A \ op \ B$ op determined by S. </p>
	<p>With enable input $e \rightarrow$ all O's are 0 if $e=0$</p>	<p>With carry-in input $C_i \rightarrow$ $sum = A + B + C_i$</p>		<p>May have status outputs carry, zero, etc.</p>

(RT-Level) Sequential components


		
<p>Q = 0 if clear=1, I if load=1 and clock=1, Q(previous) otherwise.</p>	<p>Q = lsb - Content shifted - I stored in msb</p>	<p>Q = 0 if clear=1, Q(prev)+1 if count=1 and clock=1.</p>

Sequential logic design


A) Problem Description

You want to construct a clock divider. Slow down your pre-existing clock so that you output a 1 for every four clock cycles

B) State Diagram



C) Implementation Model






D) State Table (Moore-type)

Inputs			Outputs		
Q1	Q0	a	I1	IO	x
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	
0	1	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	0	
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	
1	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	


- Given this implementation model
 - Sequential logic design quickly reduces to combinational logic design

Sequential logic design (cont.)


E) Minimized Output Equations




F) Combinational Logic



(RT-Level) Custom single-purpose processor basic model




controller and datapath



a view inside the controller and datapath

Example: greatest common divisor


- First create algorithm
- Convert algorithm to “complex” state machine
 - Known as FSM_D: finite-state machine with **data**
 - Can use templates to perform such conversion



(b) desired functionality

```


0: int x, y;
1: while (1) {
2:   while (!go_i);
3:   x = x_i;
4:   y = y_i;
5:   while (x != y) {
6:     if (x < y)
7:       y = y - x;
8:     else
9:       x = x - y;
9:   d_o = x;
}
    
```



State diagram templates


Assignment statement

```
a = b  
next statement
```




Loop statement

```
while (cond) {  
    loop-body-  
    statements  
}  
next statement
```





Branch statement

```
if (c1)  
    c1 stmts  
else if c2  
    c2 stmts  
else  
    other stmts  
next statement
```




Creating the datapath


- Create a register for any declared variable
- Create a functional unit for each arithmetic operation
 - Based on reads and writes
 - Use multiplexors for multiple sources
- Create unique identifier
 - for each datapath component control input and output






Creating the controller's FSM



- Same structure as FSMD
- Replace complex actions/conditions with datapath configurations



Splitting into a controller and datapath




Controller state table for the GCD example

Inputs							Outputs								
Q3	Q2	Q1	Q0	x_neq _y	x_lt_ _y	go_i	I3	I2	I1	I0	x_sel	y_sel	x_ld	y_ld	d_ld
0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	1	X	X	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	*	*	0	0	0	1	0	X	X	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	*	*	1	0	0	1	1	X	X	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	1	X	X	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	*	*	*	0	1	0	0	0	X	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	*	*	*	0	1	0	1	X	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1	0	*	*	1	0	1	1	X	X	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	*	*	0	1	1	0	X	X	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	*	0	*	1	0	0	0	X	X	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	*	1	*	0	1	1	1	X	X	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	*	*	*	1	0	0	1	X	1	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	*	*	*	1	0	0	1	1	X	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	*	*	*	1	0	1	0	X	X	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	*	*	*	0	1	0	1	X	X	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	*	*	*	1	1	0	0	X	X	0	0	1
1	1	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	X	X	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	X	X	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	X	X	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	X	X	0	0	0

Completing the GCD

custom single-purpose processor design


- We finished the datapath
- We have a state table for the next state and control logic
 - All that's left is combinational logic design
- This is *not* an optimized design, but we see the basic steps




a view inside the controller and datapath

RT-level custom single-purpose processor design

- We often start with a state machine
 - Rather than algorithm
 - Cycle timing often too central to functionality
- Example
 - Bus bridge that converts 4-bit bus to 8-bit bus
 - Start with FSMD
 - Known as register-transfer (RT) level
 - Exercise: complete the design



RT-level custom single-purpose processor design



Optimizing single-purpose processors

- Optimization is the task of making design metric values the best possible
- Optimization opportunities
 - original program
 - FSMD
 - datapath
 - FSM

Optimizing the original program

- Analyze program attributes and look for areas of possible improvement
 - number of computations
 - size of variable
 - time and space complexity
 - operations used
 - multiplication and division very expensive

Optimizing the original program (cont')

original program

```
0: int x, y;
1: while (1) {
2:   while (!go_i);
3:   x = x_i;
4:   y = y_i;
5:   while (x != y) {
6:     if (x < y)
7:       y = y - x;
8:     else
9:       x = x - y;
10:  }
11:  d_o = x;
12: }
```

replace the subtraction
operation(s) with modulo
operation in order to speed
up program

optimized program

```
0: int x, y, r;
1: while (1) {
2:   while (!go_i);
3:   // x must be the larger number
4:   if (x_i >= y_i) {
5:     x=x_i;
6:     y=y_i;
7:   }
8:   else {
9:     x=y_i;
10:    y=x_i;
11:  }
12:  while (y != 0) {
13:    r = x % y;
14:    x = y;
15:    y = r;
16:  }
17:  d_o = x;
18: }
```

GCD(42, 8) - 9 iterations to complete the loop

x and y values evaluated as follows : (42, 8), (43, 8),
(26,8), (18,8), (10, 8), (2,8), (2,6), (2,4), (2,2).


GCD(42,8) - 3 iterations to complete the loop

x and y values evaluated as follows: (42, 8), (8,2),
(2,0)

Optimizing the FSMD

- Areas of possible improvements
 - merge states
 - states with constants on transitions can be eliminated, transition taken is already known
 - states with independent operations can be merged
 - separate states
 - states which require complex operations ($a*b*c*d$) can be broken into smaller states to reduce hardware size
 - scheduling

Optimizing the FSM (cont.)



original FSM

eliminate state 1 – transitions have constant values

merge state 2 and state 2J – no loop operation in between them


merge state 3 and state 4 – assignment operations are independent of one another

merge state 5 and state 6 – transitions from state 6 can be done in state 5

eliminate state 5J and 6J – transitions from each state can be done from state 7 and state 8, respectively

eliminate state 1-J – transition from state 1-J can be done directly from state 9

optimized FSM



Optimizing the datapath

- Sharing of functional units
 - one-to-one mapping, as done previously, is not necessary
 - if same operation occurs in different states, they can share a single functional unit
- Multi-functional units
 - ALUs support a variety of operations, it can be shared among operations occurring in different states

Optimizing the FSM


- State encoding
 - task of assigning a unique bit pattern to each state in an FSM
 - size of state register and combinational logic vary
 - can be treated as an ordering problem
- State minimization
 - task of merging equivalent states into a single state
 - state equivalent if for all possible input combinations the two states generate the same outputs and transitions to the next same state

Summary

- Custom single-purpose processors
 - Straightforward design techniques
 - Can be built to execute algorithms
 - Typically start with FSMD
 - CAD tools can be of great assistance

Considerations

- Y-Chart
 - Gajski-Kuhn Chart



Behavioural Domain

Structural Domain

Systems

Processors, Memories, Interconnections

Algorithms

Processors

Register transfers

Behavioural

Registers, Multiplexers
Adders, Subtractors, ALUs

Logic

Gates, flip-flops, etc.

Transfer functions
Differential Equations

Transistors

Transistor layout

Cell layout

Module layout

Floorplans

Physical partitions

Physical Domain

Concurrent functionalities
(blocks, processes)

Transaction Level Modeling

Pseudo-code
C-code
Assembly code

SW: GPP, ASP
HW: SPP

FSMD
ASM

Combinatorial Circuits
Logical/Boolean Equations
Truth Tables
Sequential Circuits
State Table/Diagram

Considerations

- *Why HW design is normally more complex than SW design?*
 - In HW design, there is (quite) always the need to consider some structural issues
 - This often implies to face with synthesis, mapping, etc.
 - We cannot completely forget the lower levels of abstraction!
 - In SW Design, fixed a programming language, we can “just” select an existing GPP/ASP processor and to compile for it
 - No structural issues!
 - Moreover, the re-use practice (e.g. libraries, OSs, etc.) is still more mature in the SW domain than in the HW one